ARPA Order No. 1479

Program Code 1E20

Contract No. N00014-69-A-0200-6018

Principal Investigator: Kurt E. Shuler Department of Chemistry University of California, San Diego La Jolla, California 92037

Name of Contractor: The Regents of the University of California Contract and Grant Officer 19B, Building 301, Matthews Campus University of California, San Diego La Jolla, California 92037

Effective Date of Contract: 1 August 1968 From:

Amount of Contract: \$180,000

Short Title of Work: On the Validity of Stochastic Rate Equations in Finite Systems with Finite Strength Interactions

To: 31 July 1972

Sponsored by Advanced Research Projects Agency ARPA Order 1479

The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Advanced Research Projects Agency or the U. S. Government.

> NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE



Security Classification DOCUMENT CO.	NTROL DATA - R &	. D			
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexi			overell report is cleeniled)		
1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		28. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION			
Department of Chemistry		UNCLASSIFIED			
University of California, San Diego		26. GROUP			
La Jolla, California 92037		<u></u>			
3 REPORT TITLE		_			
On the Validity of Stochastic Rate Equat	ions in Finite	Systems w	with Finite		
Strength Interactions			•		
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)					
,					
5 Au THOR(S) (Firet name, middle initial, laet name)					
Robert I. Cukier, Kurt E. Shuler and John	n D. Weeks				
,	•		•		
S REPORT DATE	TAL TOTAL NO OF	PAGES	76. NO OF REFS		
August 1971	24		12		
SA. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO	Se. ORIGINATOR'S	REPORT NUM			
N00014-69-A-0200-6018	Technical R	Technical Report No. 8			
B. PROJECT NO					
		2 NA(4) (Ann a			
c.	this report)				
d .	ARPA Order	ARPA Order No. 1479			
10 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT					
Distribution of this document is unlimit	ed.				
II SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	13 SPONSORING M	ILITARY ACTI	VITV		
	Office of Naval Research				
	Physics Branch				
	Washington, D. C. 20360				
18 ABSTRACT Starting with the Hamiltonian for	or a linear ha	rmonic cha	in of 2N particles of		
mass m and one of mass M we have carried					
autocorrelation function of the mass defe					
of mass points and for non-zero values of	the mass ratio				
been compared with the well known exponen	tial relaxation				
tion function which is found to be the ri	tiàl relaxation gorous result	when passi	ing to the		
tion function which is found to be the rithermodynamic and weak coupling limit. It	tiàl relaxation gorous result on these limits	when passi the dynam	ing to the mass defect		
tion function which is found to be the ri- thermodynamic and weak coupling limit. In particle is exactly described by a Fokker	tiàl relaxation gorous result on these limits -Planck equation	when passi the dynam on, i.e. a	ing to the aics of the mass defect a stochastic equation		
tion function which is found to be the rightermodynamic and weak coupling limit. It particle is exactly described by a Fokker of motion. We have shown that to an exce	tial relaxation gorous result of n these limits -Planck equation llent approxima	when passi the dynam on, i.e. a ation an o	ing to the mass defect is stochastic equation exponential relaxation		
tion function which is found to be the rightermodynamic and weak coupling limit. It particle is exactly described by a Fokker of motion. We have shown that to an exce of the momentum autocorrelation function	tial relaxation gorous result on these limits -Planck equation llent approximates is obtained fo	when passi the dynam on, i.e. a ation an o r mass rat	ing to the mass defect a stochastic equation exponential relaxation ios as high as		
tion function which is found to be the rightermodynamic and weak coupling limit. It particle is exactly described by a Fokker of motion. We have shown that to an exce	tial relaxation gorous result on these limits -Planck equation lient approximate the control of	when passi the dynam on, i.e. a ation an o r mass rat , for the	ing to the mass defect is stochastic equation exponential relaxation ios as high as harmonic chain consi-		

DD1473

IPAGE 11

0107-014-0000

Security Classification							
14 KEY WORDS	LINK A			LINK		LINKC	
	HOLE	WT	HOLE	WT	ROLE	WT	
16	ROLE		ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	

ON THE VALIDITY OF STOCHASTIC RATE EQUATIONS IN FINITE SYSTEMS WITH FINITE STRENGTH INTERACTIONS*

R. I. Cukier, K. E. Shuler and J. D. Weeks[‡]

Department of Chemistry University of California, San Diego La Jolla, California 92037

Supported in part by the Advanced Research Projects Agency of the Department of Defense as monitored by the U. S. Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-69-A-0200-6018 and by the National Science Foundation under Grant GP28257X.

Present Address: Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, England.

ABSTRACT

Starting with the Hamiltonian for a linear harmonic chain of 2N particles of mass m and one of mass M we have carried out numerical calculations for the momentum autocorrelation function of the mass defect particle for chains with finite number N of mass points and for non-zero values of the mass ratio $\mu \equiv m/M$. These results have been compared with the well known exponential relaxation of the momentum autocorrelation function which is found to be the rigorous result when passing to the thermodynamic and weak coupling limit. In these limits the dynamics of the mass defect particle is exactly described by a Fokker-Planck equation, i.e. a stochastic equation of motion. We have shown that to an excellent approximation an exponential relaxation of the momentum autocorrelation function is obtained for mass ratios as high as $\mu = 0.1$ and for chains with only fifty particles. Thus, for the harmonic chain considered here, the stochastic equations of motion can be applied to a very good approximation far outside the usually imposed thermodynamic and weak coupling limits.

Key Words: Stochastic Equations, Fokker-Planck Equation, Thermodynamic Limit,

Weak Coupling Limit, Momentum Autocorrelation Function, Linear

Harmonic Chain.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the derivation of the master equation of non-equilibrium statistical mechanics from the Liouville equation two limiting processes are always invoked. (1) First, one performs calculations in the thermodynamic limit in which the size of the system and the number of particles in the system are allowed to increase without bound in such a way that the concentration (number per volume) of the particles remains finite. The other limit is the weak coupling limit where some interaction parameter is allowed to approach zero while the time approaches infinity such that their product is a constant. The passage to these limits is essential in the rigorous derivation of the master equation from the Liouville equation. (1)

From a practical point of view, however, all physically realizable systems are finite, the interaction parameter does not go to zero and it is too time consuming to make observations as time goes to infinity. The question thus arises as to just what error is involved in applying stochastic equations to finite systems with finite strength interactions. It is to this question that this paper is addressed.

Our model system for this study is the ubiquitous linear harmonic chain and the specific property to be investigated is the momentum autocorrelation function of a mass defect particle in the chain. We have chosen this model since it is possible to carry out exact dynamic calculations for the autocorrelation function for finite chains with finite interaction forces. These can then be compared with known analytic stochastic results obtained in the thermodynamic (infinite chain) and weak coupling (infinite mass defect) limits. (2) Since the ultimate aim of any dynamic theory is the calculation of some average time dependent quantity, the examination of the momentum autocorrelation function is an appropriate test for the validity of the stochastic equations.

It is known⁽³⁾ that the dynamical behavior of local properties in a finite chain of N oscillators with short range forces is essentially identical with the N+ ∞ results over a range of time which is proportional to the size N of the system. We present a numerical study of this situation which clearly demonstrates this point. We find that for N \sim 50 the computer results on the relaxation of the momentum autocorrelation functions are indistinguishable from the thermodynamic limit results over a range of time in which the autocorrelation function has relaxed essentially to its zero value. This certainly indicates, at least for the model studied here, that the stochastic equations are valid for finite systems far removed from the thermodynamic limit.

We have also examined numerically the weak coupling limit. It is known (2) that in a one dimensional harmonic lattice with point masses m and an impurity of mass M, the heavy particle undergoes Brownian motion in the weak coupling limit μ m m/M \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow m, μ t=c. This implies that in this limit the momentum autocorrelation function decays exponentially. Our numerical computer study shows that this exponential decay of the momentum autocorrelation function is also obtained to within a very close approximation for non-zero values of μ and for finite times. Thus, excellent agreement with exponential relaxation is already found for μ = 0.1, i.e. for M = 10m. Clearly, the stochastic results are again in excellent agreement with the exact dynamic results far from the weak coupling limit.

We have also studied the infinite chain with long range interactions. We have shown that one obtains an excellent approximation to the exponential relaxation of the autocorrelation function of the heavy impurity M for $\mu>0$,

and $0 < \gamma < 1$, where γ is an interaction parameter such that $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to nearest neighbor interactions and $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ corresponds to interactions of zero strength and infinite extent.

In Section II we introduce the model Hamiltonian and indicate the various representations from which one can compute the momentum autocorrelation function of the impurity. In Section III we discuss the autocorrelation function for finite systems and for finite interaction strength for chains with nearest neighbor interactions. In Section IV we introduce the chain with long range interactions and discuss the new features which occur in this system. In Section V we present a summary and discussion of our results.

11. HAMILTONIAN AND REPRESENTATION OF AUTOCORRELATION FUNCTION

The Hamiltonian appropriate to a chain of 2N particles of mass m and one of mass M bound by harmonic nearest neighbor forces with cyclic boundary conditions is

$$H = \frac{1}{2M} \hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=1}^{2N} \hat{p}_k^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{2N-1} (\hat{q}_k - \hat{q}_{k+1})^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left[(\hat{Q} - \hat{q}_1)^2 - (\hat{Q} - \hat{q}_{2N})^2 \right].$$
 (2.1)

Here the $\{\hat{p}_k,\hat{q}_k\}$ are the momenta and positions of the 2N equal mass particle, \hat{P} and \hat{Q} are the momentum and position of the impurity particle and α is the force constant. If one partially diagonalizes this Hamiltonian according to the transformations

$$m^{-1/2} \hat{p}_{k} = p_{k}^{i}$$
 $M^{-1/2} \hat{p} = P$

$$m^{1/2} \hat{q}_{k} = q_{k}^{i}$$
 $M^{1/2} \hat{Q} = Q$ (2.2)

ar. I

$$\hat{p}_{k} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{2N} T_{k\ell} p'$$
 $\hat{q}_{k} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{2N} T_{k\ell} q'_{\ell}$
(2.3)

with

$$T_{k\ell} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{2N+1}} \quad \sin \frac{k\ell\pi}{2N+1}$$
 (2.4)

one obtains

$$H = \frac{1}{2} (P^{2} + \Omega^{2} Q^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{2N} \left[(p_{k}^{*})^{2} + \omega_{k}^{2} (q_{k}^{*})^{2} \right] + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{2N} \epsilon_{k} q_{k}^{*} Q$$
(2.5)

with

$$\omega_{k}^{2} = \omega_{0}^{2} \sin^{2} \frac{k\pi}{2(2N+1)}$$
; $\omega_{0}^{2} = \frac{4\alpha}{m}$; $\Omega^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \mu \omega_{0}^{2}$ (2.6)

where ω_0 is the fundamental frequency of the chain,

$$\varepsilon_{k} = -\frac{\omega_{o}^{2}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{2N+1}} \quad \sin \frac{k\pi}{2N+1} \quad (k=1,3,...2N-1)$$

$$\varepsilon_{k} = 0 \quad (k=2,4,...2N)$$
(2.7)

and

$$\mu = \frac{m}{M}. \tag{2.8}$$

In this form the Hamiltonian exhibits an explicit dependence on the mass ratio μ which is a convenient form for our discussion of the weak coupling limit. We now transform to the fully diagonal form with

$$Q = \sum_{j}^{i} X_{0j} \eta_{j}$$

$$P = \sum_{j}^{i} X_{0j} \Pi_{j}$$

$$q'_{k} = \sum_{j}^{i} X_{kj} \eta_{j}$$

$$q'_{2k} = \eta_{2k} , \quad p'_{2k} = \Pi_{2k} \quad (k=1,2,...,N)$$

$$(2.9)$$

where the prime on the sums indicates j=0,1,3,...2N-1.

By direct substitution, one then finds that the secular equation may be written in the form (4)

$$G(z) = 0 = z - \Omega^2 - T_N(z)$$
 (2.10)

with

$$T_{N}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \frac{\varepsilon_{i}^{2}}{z - \omega_{i}^{2}}$$
 (2.11)

The solutions $\{z = s_i^2\}$ of this secular equation are the eigenfrequencies $\{s_i^2\}$ the fully diagonalized Hamiltonian. In addition one finds that

$$\chi_{0k}^2 = \frac{1}{1+S_N(k)}$$
 (2.12)

with

$$S_{N}(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \frac{{\binom{2}{i}}^{2}}{(s_{k}^{2} - \omega_{i}^{2})^{2}}$$
 (2.13).

As indicated in the introduction we shall focus on the momentum autocorrelation function p of the impurity. The normalized correlation function is given by

$$\rho(t) = \frac{\langle PP(t) \rangle}{\langle P^2 \rangle} \tag{2.14}$$

where the bracket denotes the canonical average

$$\langle A(P,Q,p^N,q^N) \rangle = \frac{\int dP dQ dp^N dq^N e^{-PH} A(P,Q,p^N,q^N)}{\int dP dQ dp^N dq^N e^{-\beta H}}$$
(2.15).

If one now uses the equations of motion of the harmonic lattice and the indicated canonical transformations [Eqs. (2.1) through (2.13)] one finds that

$$\rho_{N}(\mu,t) = \sum_{k}^{t} \chi_{0k}^{2} \cos s_{k}t$$
 (2.16)

where X_{0k}^2 is given by Eq. (2.12) and where the $\{s_k\}$ are the normal mode frequencies of the fully diagonalized Hamiltonian. The representation (2.16) is convenient for discussing the momentum autocorrelation function for the finite N case if one can sum the functions T_N and S_N of Eqs. (2.11) and (2.13). These sums have been evaluated and yield for G(z) and $S_N(\alpha_k)$

$$G(\alpha_k) = 0 = (1-\mu) - \mu \cot \frac{\pi \alpha k}{2(2N+1)} \left[\cot \pi \alpha_k - \csc \pi \alpha_k\right]$$
 (2.17)
 $(k=1,3,...,2N-1)$

and

$$S_N(\alpha_k) = \frac{\mu}{2\cos^2 \frac{\pi \alpha_k}{2}} \left[2N + \cot \frac{\pi \alpha_k}{2N+1} \sin \pi \alpha_k - \cos \pi \alpha_k \right]$$
 (2.18)

where the α_k are related to the normalized eigenfrequencies \boldsymbol{s}_k by

$$s_k^2 = \sin^2 \frac{\pi \alpha_k}{2(2N+1)}$$
 , $k = (1,3,...,2N-1)$ (2.19).

It is easy to see that as $\mu \rightarrow 1$ one just recovers the equal mass nearest neighbor spectrum

$$s_k^2(\mu=1) = \sin^2 \frac{\pi k}{2(2N+1)}$$
 (2.20).

To study the effect of the mass ratio μ in the thermodynamic limit, $N\!\!+\!\!\infty$, it is more convenient to represent the momentum autocorrelation function by

$$\rho(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{\cos\sqrt{z} \ t}{G(z)} \ dz \qquad (2.21)$$

where the contour is chosen as a circle in the complex z-plane enclosing all the zeroes of G(z). The representation (2.21) is equivalent to that in (2.16). Note that in the limit N+ ∞ the zeroes of G(z) become dense on the interval $(0,\omega_0^2)$ and one may then contract the above contour to an integral running just above and below the real axis in this interval. If one computes G(z) from Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11) in the limit N+ ∞ , one obtains

$$\rho(\tau) = \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{(1-x^2)^{1/2}}{(1-2\mu)x^2+\mu^2} \cos x\tau dx \qquad (2.22)$$

where we have introduced the scaled time

$$\tau = \omega_0 t \tag{2.23}.$$

This spectral representation holds for $0 < \mu$ 1; for $\mu > 1$, a light impurity, one obtains another term corresponding to an isolated frequency which gives rise to a purely periodic component in the correlation function. (6).

This completes the summary of the equations for the oscillator chain with nearest neighbor interactions. In the next Section we discuss the results of computer solutions of Eqs. (2.16) and (2.22).

111. CALCULATION OF AUTOCORRELATION FUNCTIONS

We first consider the momentum autocorrelation function of the mass H particle in the thermodynamic limit with the help of Eq. (2.22). This integral cannot be evaluated analytically. For $\mu \approx 1$ it has an expansion in the form $^{(6,7)}$

$$\rho(\tau) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \rho_{K}(\tau)$$

$$= J_{0}(\tau) + \frac{2(1-\mu)}{(1-2\mu)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-2\mu)^{k} J_{2k}(\tau)$$
(3.1).

This expressions simplifies for certain mass ratios; for u=1, equal mass particles, one obtains

$$\rho(\tau) = J_{\rho}(\tau) \tag{3.2}$$

and for $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$

$$\rho(1) = J_{\alpha}(1) + J_{2}(1)$$
 (3.3).

In the combined weak coupling limit, $\mu+0$, $\tau+0$, $\tau+0$, $\mu+1$ constant, and thermodynamic limit, N+++, one obtains from the spectral representation, Eq. (2.21), the well known result⁽²⁾

$$\rho(\tau) = e^{-\mu\tau}$$
 $\tau \to 0$
 $\mu \to$

The physical basis of the weak coupling limit is the existence of processes occurring on different time scales. Processes which occur slowly relax to equilibrium in the "mean field" of the fast processes. In the present example the equal mass particles relax on a time scale of $1/\nu_0$ while the momentum of the heavy particle (N \gg m) relaxes on a time scale measured by the mass ratio μ = m/N. This latter time scale is slow compared to the $1/\nu_0$ time scale of

the mass = particles. In the limit when p=0 the momentum of the heavy particle is a constant of the motion.

To obtain information about the form of the momentum autocorrelation function for non-zero ν , $\nu \leq 1$, we have numerically integrated Eq. (2.22). In Figure 1 we plot $\rho(\tau)$ versus τ for various values of ν . The time is measured in units of the maximum frequency ν_0 of the lattice, which is typically of order 10^{-13} sec. The damped oscillatory behavior is clearly evident from this figure, but for $\nu=0.1$ one already has behavior suggesting exponential decay. A log plot of this data in Figure (2) shows that for all intents and purposes one has reached the weak coupling limit when $\nu=0.1$. A comparison of the values of exp(- ν t) and $\rho(\tau)$ of Eq. (2.22) for $\nu=0.1$ in Table 1 shows that they differ by only 1% for $\tau \approx 6$, i.e. for times longer than about 6×10^{-13} seconds.

Note that there is an initial transient period where the autocorrelation function must be Gaussian for any μ . (8) On the other hand for long times, i.e. times a greater than the exponential decay time $1/\mu$, one has a correction of damped oscillatory form to the weak coupling limit. These contributions to $\rho(t)$ are however less that 0.1% of the initial value $\rho(0)$.

It should be noted that the mass ratio appears as the square root in the Hamiltonian, Eq. (2.5), so that one has exponential relaxation of the autocorrelation function for a value of 0.3 of the "small" parameter in the Mamiltonian.

For the case of finite N we must find the eigenfrequencies of the secular Eq. (2.10) which are the solutions of the transcendental Eq. (2.17). There are only N-1 modes which are portinent to this problem: the zero frequency mode due to the translational invariance of the lattice and N modes arising from the symmetric modes of the unperturbed lattice. The anti-symmetric modes have a mode at the position of the heavy mass particle and thus do not influence its dynamical behavior. These N frequencies $\{s_k\}$ for $\{k=1,2,\ldots,2N-1\}$ are

casily found numerically from Eqs. (2.17) and (2.19) and are used to obtain the $\lambda_{\rm UL}^2$ with the help of Eq. (2.12) and (2.13). The momentum autocorrelation function was then calculated by carrying out the summation in Eq. (2.16). In Figure (3) we plot the calculated values of $\rho_{\rm K}(\nu_*\tau)$ vs τ for various small values of K.

As has been pointed out by Rubin (3) and others one expects that for times less than those required for a signal to propogate around the lattice, the autocorrelation function should closely approximate the N-- result. This is due to the fact that there is, to a good approximation, no way for the signal to know that it is travelling in a finite lattice until it reaches the boundaries. For a signal travelling the lattice with the speed of sound, the time t(==,t) required to propogate around a lattice of 2N mass points is t ~ 2N. For times t < 2N the results for the finite lattice should thus be numerically indistinguishable from those of the infinite lattice. This is born out by the calculations presented here. Furthermore, for relatively small values of μ, for which ρ(1) decays fairly rapdily, the finite N results are in excellent agreement with the thermodynamic limit results even when N is only of the order of 50. Thus even in a harmonic system where one has coherent signal propogation, small N results are quite accurate in describing the dynamics of local perturbations. One must of course restrict such statements to systems with potentials whose range is small compared to the size of the system as otherwise the boundaries would be "felt" by the aignal at all times.

This "recurrence" time $\tau \sim 2N$ bears no relation to the Poincaré recurrence time which refers to the recurrence of a particular phase point. These latter times are much longer than any time scale considered here. (9)

1V. AUTOCOMMELATION FUNCTION FOR LATTICE WITH LONG RANGE INTERACTION

In this section we turn our attention to the case of a harmonic lattice with long range interactions. We consider here only the case of the infinite chain.

The Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H = \frac{1}{2N} P^2 + \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=1}^{2N} p_k^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{2N} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} q_k \Lambda_{kj} q_j$$
 (4.1)

where we take q = Q. We impose periodic boundar, conditions on the chain and assume that

$$A_{kj} = A_{\lfloor k-j \rfloor} = A_k \tag{4.2}.$$

This last condition expresses the physically realistic assumption that the interaction between two mass points depends only on their separation in the lattice. We take the interaction to be of the form

$$A_{\underline{a}} = \frac{a}{a} (1-\gamma)\gamma^{\underline{a}-1} \qquad 0 < \gamma < 1 \quad , \quad \underline{a} = 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.3).

Note that as $\gamma+0$ one recovers the nearest neighbor interaction and as $\gamma+1$ one obtains an interaction of zero strength and infinite extent. The normalization factor (1- γ) ensures that the total potential energy of the infinite system remains constant for all γ .

By precedures analogous to those used in obtaining Eq. (2.22), the spectral representation of the momentum autocorrelation function of the heavy mass particle is found to be

$$\rho(\mu,\gamma,\tau) = \mu \int_{-1}^{1} \left[\frac{A(\omega,\gamma)}{\mu^{2} + 2\mu(1-\mu)\omega B(\omega,\gamma) + \omega^{2}(1-\mu)^{2} (A^{2}(\omega,\gamma) + B^{2}(\omega,\gamma))} \right] \cos \omega \tau d\omega$$
(4.4)

mere

$$A(E,Y) = \frac{(1-y^2)}{(1-y^2)^{1/2}[(1+y)^2-4yy^2]}$$
 (4.5)

$$E(\omega_{+1}) = \frac{4\gamma \omega}{\left[4\gamma \omega^2 - (1+\gamma)^2\right]} \tag{4.6}$$

$$t = \frac{\epsilon_0}{\sqrt{4\epsilon_1}} t \tag{4.7}$$

and where the frequency ν is now dimensionless having been scaled by the maximum frequency ν_0 . The autocorrelation function $\rho(\nu,\gamma,\tau)$ of Eq. (4.4) can easily be evaluated for different values of ν and γ by numerical integration and some representative results are displayed in Figures (4). The same damped oscillatory behavior as shown in Fig. (1) for nearest neighbor interactions is obtained in this case for intermediate values of ν and γ . For small enough ν and γ done again obtains an exponential decay of the autocorrelation function. As γ increases, i.e. as the range of interaction increases, one must go to smaller values of ν to obtain exponential relaxation. In the weak coupling limit, which one can obtain from Eq. (4.4), by setting

and holding " constant, one finds

$$\lim_{\substack{y \to 0 \\ \tau \to \infty}} \rho_{N}(u, \gamma, \tau) = \left(\frac{1 + \gamma}{1 - \gamma}\right) e^{-\frac{U}{\sqrt{1 - \gamma}}\tau}$$

$$(4.8).$$

The weak coupling limit thus yields again an exponential relexation for the momentum autocorrelation function. For $\gamma=0$, Eq. (4.8) reduces to the meanward neighbor interaction result (3.4).

It has been demonstrated⁽¹⁰⁾ that for interactions which are of the form $A_{ij} = A_{|i-j|}$, exponential relaxation is obtained in the limit μ +0 when the squared frequency distribution of the equal mass system (μ =1) satisfies

$$G(\omega^2) \sim \frac{1}{\omega} \qquad \qquad \omega = 0 \tag{4.9}$$

for small ω . Our interaction matrix satisfies this criteria since one finds from Eqs. (4.4) through (4.6) that for ω =1

$$G(\omega^2) = \frac{(1-\gamma^2)}{\omega} = \frac{1}{[(1+\gamma)^2 - 4\gamma \omega^2][1-\omega^2]^{1/2}}$$
 (4.10).

V. SUBBARY AND DISCUSSION

Starting with the Hamiltonian for a linear harmonic chain of 2N particles with one mass defect particle, we have calculated via analytical dynamics the momentum autocorrelation function of the mass defect particle for finite chains and for non-zero mass ratios u. We have shown that one obtains to a very good approximation an exponential relaxation of the momentum autocorrelation function for mass ratios as high as u=0.1 and for chains with only 50 particles. As is well known, passage to the thermodynamic and weak coupling limits yields the result that the dynamics of the infinite mass particle is rigorously described by a Fokker-Planck equation. This in turn yields the rigorous result that the momentum autocorrelation function of the infinite mass particle has an exponential time decay. It is clear from the above results that, at least for the harmonic chain studied here, the Fokker-Planck equation (or, equivalently, the corresponding Langevin equation) can be used to describe to a very good approximation the dynamics of a heavy (but not necessarily infinitely heavy) particle in a finite chain. The thormodynamic limit and the weak coupling limit, while necessary to obtain rigorous analytical results for the validity of stochastic equations of motions, are thus unnecessarily stringent conditions for the use of stochastic equations in describing the dynamics of the model considered here.

One important question which immediately arises is how applicable this conclusion is to other systems. Is this true in general or are these findings quite specific to the harmonic chain? We believe that our result on the validity (in an appreximate rather than rigorous sense) of stochastic equations such as the master equation, the Fokker-Planck equation, or the Langevin equation for outside (whatever that may mean in any given case) the thermodynamic and weak coupling limits is a very general one. Unfortunately, this must remain a conjecture for the time being since we know of no general proof.

A somewhat related study has recently been carried out by Bishop and Berne (11) who investigated via computer calculations the onset of Brownian motion in a one dimensional fluid. They found an exponential relaxation of the velocity autocorrelation function for clusters with mass $M \ge 25m$ where m is the mass single fluid particle. Their results thus point definitely in the same direction as ours.

The analytic treatments of this problem imply that the momentum autocorrelation function can be written as a series expansion in the coupling
parameter \(\nu\) where the leading term is given by the thermodynamic and weak
coupling limit result and where the correction terms, arising from finite
strength coupling and the finite size of the system, are proportional to powers
of \(\nu\). As we have seen, these correction terms are very small in the example
considered here. It should be pointed out that it is exceedingly difficult to
demonstrate analytically that corrections to the "rigorous" stochastic equations
are indeed proportional to powers of the coupling parameter. It is only very
recently that it has been shown (12) that the Langevin equation and the FokkerPlanck equation for a heavy particle in a classical fluid do ' we correction
terms proportional to the coupling parameter \(\nu\) which are bounded for all times.

REFERENCES

- L. Van Hove, Physica 23, 441 (1957); R. Zwanzig, J. Chem. Phys. 33, 1338 (1960); Lectures in Theoretical Physics (Boulder), (Interscience, Inc., New York, 1960).
- 2. R. J. Rubin, J. Math. Phys. 1, 309 (1960); J. Math. Phys. 2, 373 (1961).
- 3. R. J. Rubin, Phys. Rev. 131, 96 (1963).
- 4. P. Ullersma, Physica 32, 74 (1966).
- 5. R. 1. Cukier and P. Mazur, Physica <u>53</u>, 157 (1971).
- 6. P. Mazur and R. I. Cukier, to be published in Physica.
- 7. S. Kashiwamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. (Kyoto) 26, 568 (1961).
- 8. B. J. Berne and G. D. Harp, Adv. in Chem. Phys. Vol. XVII, p. 63, (Interscience, Inc., New York, 1970), Eds. I. Prigogine and S. Rice.
- 9. P. Chr. Hemmer, L. C. Maximon and H. Wergeland, Phys. Rev. 111, 689 (1958).
- 10. P. Mazur and E. Braun, Physica 30, 1973 (1964).
- 11. B. Berne and M. Bishop, to be published in J. Chem. Phys.
- P. Mazur and I. Oppenheim, Physica <u>50</u>, 141 (1970); J. Albers, J. M. Deutch and I. Oppenheim, J. Chem. Phys. <u>54</u>, 3541 (1971).

TABLE 1 $\label{eq:comparison} \text{Comparison of exp(-$\mu\tau$) and } \rho(\mu,\tau) \text{ of Eq. (2.22) for μ=0.1 }$

exp(-μτ)	ρ(μ,τ)
1.000	1.000
0.741	0.819
0.549	0.570
0.407	0.415
0.301	0.292
0.223	0.212
0.165	0.159
0.122	0.108
0.090	0.076
0.067	0.056
0.050	0.039
0.037	0.028
0.027	0.020
	1.000 0.741 0.549 0.407 0.301 0.223 0.165 0.122 0.090 0.067 0.050 0.037

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Figure 1: Normalized momentum autocorrelation function $\rho(\tau,\mu)$ for $\mu=.05$, .1 and .5. The scaled time τ is measured in units of the maximum frequency ω_0 of the lattice.
- Figure 2: A logarithmic plot of $\rho(\tau,\mu)$ vs τ for $\mu=0.5$ and $\mu=.1$. The circles are data points; this data is closely approximated by a straight line.
- Figure 3: Normalized momentum autocorrelation function $\rho_N(\tau,\mu)$ for 2N+1 particles with N=12 and N=24 and μ =.1.
- Figure 4: Normalized momentum autocorrelation function $\rho(\tau,\mu,\gamma)$ for $\mu=.1$ and $\gamma=.1$ and $\gamma=.5$. For small values of γ one still obtains exponential relaxation for small μ .







